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Abstract—Dialogical learning and teamwork have become the principles demanded by the knowledge society, given that we are currently living in a completely globalised world that requires skilled citizens to collaborate on a social, professional and academic level. Likewise, creativity is another key element requested by organisations to solve problems. Against this background, some student-centred teaching methods like flipped classrooms are appearing. Therefore, this paper aimed to analyse the implementation of the flipped classroom method as a factor to develop dialogical learning and creativity. To this regard, a quantitative method was used, applying a survey prepared ad hoc to a sample of 308 students from Spain and Colombia, in order to know whether implementing the flipped classroom truly enhances the development of such skills. According to the results obtained, it is stated that developing the flipped classroom method promotes a team-based work dynamic, which generates dialogical learning among students. It also enhances creativity, since it provides students with autonomy to carry out their tasks. Finally, the flipped classroom pedagogical approach is a teaching method with numerous advantages and benefits for students to adapt to the competencies required by the knowledge society.
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1 Introduction

In recent years, the Flipped Classroom methodology is being implemented in the classrooms of different educational levels, which it is defined as the flipped of roles in the classroom, where the student acquires the theoretical knowledge outside and the classroom becomes a propitious space for resolving doubts and cooperative work [1, 2]. Therefore, the student previously works the contents outside the school context from the use of digital tools to develop them later in the classroom.

The flipped classroom teaching method is offered as a key pedagogical approach in this process of change. It began with Bergmann and Sams’ [2] concern, who started to record their presentations with audio to offer them as student support material, with the
aim of confronting school absenteeism. Based on this experience, they noticed that this
teaching method promoted student learning. The flipped classroom is defined as a role
inversion within the classroom [3]. Therefore, students acquire theoretical knowledge
outside of the classroom, and the classroom turns into a space to solve queries and for
teamwork [4].

This approach is characterised by the use of technology and a change in the use of
time, that is to say, students complete class tasks in the home environment and house-
work in the classroom [5].

On the other hand, some different studies relate the implementation of the flipped
classroom method to the improvement of academic performance [3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11,
12]. Furthermore, it is possible to highlight the development of transversal skills, which
are beneficial for students, not only on the personal, academic and social sphere, but
also for professional purposes: teamwork [2, 13], motivation [11, 14], autonomy [8, 9,
15] and creativity [12, 16]. Nevertheless, although the benefits of carrying out flipped
classrooms start to be empirically reported, some authors express the necessity to keep
doing research on the results of this method [5]. Others, however, show that there are
no differences between groups using the flipped classroom model and those following
a traditional method [17, 18]. Other authors, like Barao and Palau [19], warn about the
effectiveness of the flipped classroom depending on the field of knowledge where this
method is applied.

Taking into account these considerations, this study aimed to analyse the implemen-
tation of the flipped classroom method as a factor for the development of dialogical
learning and creativity in Colombian and Spanish university students. These skills are
paramount in the completely globalised world in which we are living, which requires
citizens who are capable of solving problems efficiently and collaborating at a social,
professional and academic level [20].

One of the key aspects is dialogical learning, which is based on the premises of Ha-
bermas’ communicative action theory [21]. That is, the maximum exponent in the dia-
logue process for building discourse from a philosophic perspective, considering the
principles of influence, behavioural change, audience image and negotiation. From a
more pedagogical perspective, Flecha [22] defines dialogical learning as the interaction
produced from an egalitarian dialogue, where knowledge building starts on a social
level.

Therefore, the interactions allowed by the flipped classroom foster egalitarian dia-
logue within the classroom context, thanks to teamwork. In this sense, participating in
a peer group fixes the conditions for all students from the same starting point [23], thus
enhancing an egalitarian dialogue that has a positive impact on learning improvement
[24].

The second key aspect -creativity- is intrinsically related to dialogical learning, since
discourse promotes creativity through collaborative work [25]. This process is sup-
ported by conceptions of creative connectivity, leaving behind the predominant view of
the creative impulse from intellect [26]. On top of that, the students’ autonomous status,
enhanced by flipped classrooms, intervenes directly in the development of creativity
[27]. In parallel, some more recent studies connect the implementation of flipped class-
room to an increase of creativity among students [7].
Therefore, both the knowledge and the analysis of the flipped classroom method focused in this case on the university environment are of interest. Its different implications in learning could clarify important evidence to generally implement a successful professional model that can promote dialogical learning and creativity among students.

2 Method

The method applied in this work was quantitative with the aim of describing the reality observed [28]. The possibility of quantifying the relationships between the latent variables helped with gathering verifiable and rigorous data. It also enabled us to approach more exactly the subject of study topic by making visible those aspects that were not apparent in the first instance.

2.1 Participants

The sample involved students of two different universities (n = 308), from a population of 570 students of whom belonged to the Pontifical Bolivarian University of Bucaramanga (Colombia) and the remaining 550 studied at the University of Granada (Spain). This group of participants is characterised by being, in the case of the Colombian students, from the scientific branch of economics and business, while the Spanish students came from the area of education. As for gender, 95 were men (30.8%) and 213 women (69.2%). The age range was between 18 and 49 years old ($M = 21.75, SD = 4.361$).

Given the circumstances and the ethical engagement of scientific research [29], a simple random sampling was chosen for this work. The participants were randomly selected through a raffle that fixed the letter “M” of the surname as the beginning to choose the students. After selecting the first, the others were selected respecting a margin of two students in the list until reaching the significant sample size (n = 156 in Colombia and n = 152 in Spain), with a confidence interval of 95% and a margin of 7% error.

2.2 Data collection

Data have been collected during the 2017-2018 academic year, by using a survey as a data-gathering instrument. It was prepared ad hoc, in a 4-level Likert scale (1 – Strongly disagree, 2 - Disagree, 3 - Agree, 4 – Strongly agree). It was made up of 10 items, divided into the following dimensions:

Implementation of the flipped classroom method: Do you think that your degree of motivation towards the subject and its contents has been greater when using the innovative Flipped Classroom methodology in class? (I1). Did you find it interesting that the contents of the subject have been taught differently from the traditional one? (I2). Have you found it interesting to learn the contents through audiovisual resources such as videos or multimedia documents to access the contents of the subject? (I3). Has the
autonomous work time spent at home to work the contents of the subject been profita-
ble? (I4), Do you think the change in methodology (from traditional to Flipped Class-
room) has influenced your academic performance? (I5), Do you consider that the 
change in methodology (from traditional to Flipped Classroom) has influenced your 
content retention, being this better and more lasting? (I6) and I find it very appropriate 
to carry out practical exercises in class related to the theoretical contents (I10).

**Dialogical learning:** I prefer to work the contents in a group in a collaborative way 
(I8) and I consider it very positive and enriching to have worked group activities (I9).

**Creativity:** Do you consider that the change in methodology (from traditional to 
Flipped Classroom) has influenced the development of your creativity when creating 
activities? (I7).

An exploratory factor analysis was carried out to determine the representative factors 
of the data. It was initially shown that the total variance was 58.275% for first item, 
related to students’ motivation when implementing the flipped classroom. The Kaiser 
Meyer Olkin measure sample adequacy (KMO) collects an adequate value (KMO = 
.906) and the Bartlett test of sphericity revealed a chi-square of 1867.958, 45 DF with 
significance of .000.

An analysis of the reliability of the instrument determined adequate levels with 
Cronbach’s Alpha (α = .918) and Guttman’s split-half coefficient (.88).

### 2.3 Data analysis

The different analyses were carried out through the data analysis software SPSS and 
AMOS version 24. More specifically, SPSS was used to establish descriptive-statistical 
data and a comparison between the Colombian and Spanish populations through the t-

test.

Subsequently, a structural equations model (SEM) [30] was used to verify the relation-
ship between the different latent variables - flipped classroom, dialogical learning 
and creativity. The descriptive-statistical values of variables in terms of mean, standard 
deviation, skewness or kurtosis reflect the multivariate normality of data (table 1). This 
is a key condition to carry out a model of structural equations [31].

The skewness and the kurtosis have appropriate values, since skewness shows values 
of < 2 and kurtosis < 7 [32].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subscale</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Skewness</th>
<th>Kurtosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flipped Classroom</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>.602</td>
<td>-1.622</td>
<td>3.527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialogical learning</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>.729</td>
<td>-1.408</td>
<td>1.725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creativity</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>.622</td>
<td>-1.284</td>
<td>2.063</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

Note: N = 308; SD = Standard Deviation.
3 Results

Since there were two populations from different contexts and academic disciplines, the t-test was used to compare both groups. The subscales compared were academic performance, dialogical learning, and creativity, once the flipped classroom was implemented. The analysis showed that there are statistically significant differences between the Colombian and Spanish university students (p-value < .05).

In the academic performance item, Colombian students obtain a higher mean with more homogeneous scores \((M = 3.74, SD = .506)\) than Spanish students show \((M = 3.25, SD = .674)\). The p-value reveals the significance of data between the groups \((p\text{-value} = .001)\) (table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>.506</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>.674</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: SD = Standard Deviation.

The dialogical learning data are similar to the above-mentioned item – scores remain higher and more homogeneous in the Colombian group \((M = 3.69, SD = .599)\). The Spanish group has lower mean values and has higher spread \((M = 3.32, SD = .732)\). The difference between the groups remains significant \((p\text{-value} = .001)\) (table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>.599</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>.732</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: SD = Standard Deviation.

Under creativity, Colombian students show again higher scores and less spread \((M = 3.73, SD = .537)\) than the valued obtained by the Spanish students \((M = 3.32, SD = .635)\). The significance between the groups is clear through the p-value obtained \((p\text{-value} = .001)\) (table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>.537</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>.635</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: SD = Standard Deviation.

On the other hand, the covariance and the correlation among the different latent variables were calculated through the SEM (table 5). It was decided to add the variable “motivation” in order to verify its connection to the flipped classroom model. Likewise, a positive covariance and correlation are shown in the reliance of the flipped classroom on motivation \((\text{cov} = 1.039, R = 1)\) and creativity \((\text{cov} = 1.124, R = 1)\). Furthermore,
the interdependency between the flipped classroom and dialogical learning is confirmed (cov = .174, $R = .724$). However, data reveal that dialogical learning does not have an impact on creativity (cov = -.009, $R = -.011$).

CR index values (> 1.96) determined the significance of $p$-value at .05 [30], in which the relationships between flipped classroom–motivation, flipped classroom–dialogical learning and flipped classroom–creativity are significant.

Table 5. Covariances and correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relation</th>
<th>Cov</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>$p$-value</th>
<th>$R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FC --- M</td>
<td>1.039</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>12.208</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC &lt;--- DL</td>
<td>.174</td>
<td>.022</td>
<td>7.758</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>.724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC --- C</td>
<td>1.124</td>
<td>.121</td>
<td>9.248</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL --- C</td>
<td>-.009</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td>-.121</td>
<td>.903</td>
<td>-.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: FC = Flipped Classroom; M = Motivation; DL = Dialogical Learning; C = Creativity; SE = Standard Error; CR = Critical Ratio; $p$-value *** = $X < .001$.

Goodness-of-fit indexes indicate the accuracy of the model with reality [33]. Adequate values have been found in the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA = .095), goodness-of-fit index (GFI = .922), root mean squared residual index (RMR = .015), non-normed fit index (TLI = .933), parsimonious goodness-of-fit index (PGFI = .553). Similarly, the comparative fit index (CFI = .951) has acceptable values, establishing that 95% of data covariance can be reproduced using this model.

Finally, the SEM is comprised of four latent variables (figure 1). The first one, flipped classroom (FC), is defined by six items observable with regression values ranging from .64 to .86. Dialogical learning (DL) is defined by three items with values ranging from .83 to .92. Creativity (C) and motivation (M) each involve one item with a regression value of .75.

Fig. 1. Estimations of the structural equation model. Note: Chi-square = 123,987; df = 33; $p$-value = .000.
4 Discussion

The different statistical tests carried out brought about knowledge of important perceptions of the flipped classroom model and its influence on the development of dialogical learning and creativity. Therefore, this paper followed the research line on the results and implications of flipped classrooms, improving knowledge of this teaching method and making progress in its field of study [5].

The data obtained about the significant differences between the Colombian and the Spanish populations are interesting. Thus, the context of execution of flipped classroom have an impact on its implementation. In this case, the implementation in the Colombian university context within the fields of economics and business shows higher mean and less spread than the Spanish case in the field of education.

The differences could be caused in the first instance by the field of specialization [19]. The way of teaching in a scientific field differs from others, due to the teachers’ academic training and the pedagogical tradition of the institution. It would therefore be interesting if future studies analysed different areas of knowledge that apply a flipped classroom pedagogical approach, in order to find out the improvement level depending on the academic specialisation.

To sum up, students perceive how their academic performance improves after experiencing the flipped classroom method, where the mean has positive values. These facts match the studies remarking that the flipped classroom enhances students’ academic performance [3, 6, 7, 8, 9; 10, 11, 12].

On the other hand, the SEM allowed establishment of the covariance and correlation between items [30, 31]. The premise that the flipped classroom improves students’ motivation is confirmed [11, 14], and is illustrated in the perfect correlation obtained. To this regard, students perceive how their motivation towards the subject increases when a more autonomous and flexible work dynamic is applied [2, 3], through the use of technologies [5].

Creativity is also enhanced in an inverted working environment. The analysis of data gathers the perfect correlation between this capacity and the flipped classroom, in line with what other studies have highlighted [12, 16]. Likewise, the mean values of both groups are positive in relation to Likert’s scale. This leads us to think that the autonomy that flipped classrooms allow [8, 9, 15] has a direct impact on the creative process and increases creativity [27].

These results also confirm that dialogical learning correlates positively with the flipped classroom. In other words, implementing this teaching method develops a dialogical learning among students. In this sense, teamwork plays a key role as one of the basic skills that are intrinsically implemented through the flipped classroom [2, 13]. The thread linking teamwork and dialogical learning is set in the way in which dialogue arises [21, 22], in an almost forced way in a teamwork environment fostered by the flipped classroom [24].

Finally, the positive correlation between flipped classroom–dialogical learning and the flipped classroom–creativity influence have been verified in this work. However, when relating dialogical learning–creativity, the facts show a negative connection. This
fact draws our attention, as the social and dialogical conception should promote the development of creativity [25, 26].

5 Conclusion

Step by step the education system is being filled with innovations that are resulting in a paradigmatic change. These kinds of emergent methods based on the use of technologies put teachers to one side and, hence, students take the major role.

The flipped classroom method turns into a pedagogical approach aligned with the demands of the knowledge society. The benefits related to its implementation allow students to develop different skills that are key to executing efficient performance in their academic, social and work environments.

The teamwork produced in a flipped classroom environment is not only limited to a monological framework, but students’ autonomous research stimulates curiosity to share their knowledge with peers. Therefore, a reciprocal and peer-to-peer dialogue is produced. In the same way, autonomy has an impact on creativity development. The fact that students have a certain liberty and flexibility in learning tasks allows them to include different aspects that complement their work. This happens even more frequently by using technologies that enrich the information and provide them with an unlimited source of resources to improve the learning process.
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